

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,995	11/30/2001	Keith M. Arroyo	CROSS1290-1	CROSS1290-1 4032	
25094	25094 7590 04/07/2004			EXAMINER	
GRAY, CARY, WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP 1221 SOUTH MOPAC EXPRESSWAY			EDELMAN, E	EDELMAN, BRADLEY E	
SUITE 400	I MOI AC EAI RESSWAI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, TX 78746-6875			2153	:5	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/002,995	ARROYO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bradley Edelman	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror y, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>59-66 and 71-74</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-58 and 67-70 are subject to restrict	Claim(s) 1-58 and 67-70 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
, , ,	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
		tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau		ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-6) Other:						
- apar rio(s)mian Date						

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendments and request for reconsideration filed on January 20, 2004. Claims 1-74 are presented for further examination. Claims 59-74 are new claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 71-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 71-74 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In considering claims 71 and 73, these claims each include the limitation of "placing the threshold number of memory blocks in a buffer FIFO queue." This feature was not described in the original specification so as to establish that the inventor had

'Application/Control Number: 10/002,995

Art Unit: 2153

possession of the claimed invention at the time the application was filed. This feature is also not enabled by the specification, as the specification does not describe a means for placing *memory blocks* in a buffer FIFO queue.

Claims 72 and 74 depend from claims 71 and 73, and are thus rejected for the same reasons.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 61-66 and 71-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In considering claims 59 and 61, the term "the additional data" on line 11 of each claim lacks sufficient antecedent basis and is therefore ambiguous.

Claims 60 and 62 depend from claims 59 and 61, and are thus rejected for the same reasons.

In considering claims 63 and 65, the terms "the buffer FIFO" in step (a) and "more free memory blocks" in step (b) lack sufficient antecedent basis and are thus ambiguous.

Claims 64 and 66 depend from claims 63 and 65, and are thus rejected for the same reasons.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,995

Art Unit: 2153

In considering claims 71 and 73, the phrase "the threshold number of memory blocks" in the last two lines of the claims lacks sufficient antecedent basis and is therefore ambiguous.

Claims 72 and 74 depend from claims 71 and 73, and are thus rejected for the same reasons.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-16, 17-30, 31-44, 45-58, and 67-70 are allowed.

Regarding claims 2, 18, 32, and 46, these claims are allowable for the same reasons given in the previous Office action.

Regarding claims 1, 17, 31, and 45, these claims are allowable for the reasons set forth by Applicant in Applicant's response filed on January 20, 2004.

Regarding claims 67 and 69, the prior art of record fails to disclose or render obvious the claimed method of processing a command at a router, specifically including the comparison to a threshold and the streaming, wherein the streaming further includes the router determining if a preset size memory block is free; if so, the router requesting a data block from the first host; and if not, holding off the first host.

Claims 59-62 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, but would be allowable if the 35 USC 112 rejections regarding lack of antecedent basis were overcome.

Art Unit: 2153

Conclusion

Page 5

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley Edelman whose telephone number is (703) 306-3041. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

·Application/Control Number: 10/002,995

Art Unit: 2153

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

For all correspondences: (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

BE

April 2, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT FAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 6